EXHIBIT 53

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	
4	
	IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION MDL No. 2804
5	OPIATE LITIGATION Case No. 17-md-2804
6	
	This document relates to: Judge Dan
7	Aaron Polster
8	The County of Cuyahoga v. Purdue
	Pharma, L.P., et al.
9	Case No. 17-OP-45005
10	City of Cleveland, Ohio vs. Purdue
	Pharma, L.P., et al.
11	Case No. 18-OP-45132
12	The County of Summit, Ohio,
	et al. v. Purdue Pharma, L.P.,
13	et al.
	Case No. 18-OP-45090
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Videotaped Deposition of Joseph Rannazzisi
19	Washington, D.C.
20	April 26, 2019
21	8:37 a.m.
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Bonnie L. Russo
25	Job No. 3301876

Page 119 a -- it was at a conference involving multiple 1 disciplines within industry and the regulated 3 -- the regulators. And it came up in a conversation, 4 5 but I -- I don't recall exactly when. BY MR. O'CONNOR: 6 7 Q. In your understanding, do charge-backs have any role in the suspicious 8 order monitoring process? 9 MR. UTTER: Go ahead. You can 10 11 answer. 12 THE WITNESS: They may, yes. 13 BY MR. O'CONNOR: 14 Did DEA ever issue any quidance to Ο. 15 manufacturers informing them that charge-backs 16 were to play a role in suspicious order 17 monitoring? 18 Α. D --19 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vaque. 20 And vague as to time. 21 THE WITNESS: DEA doesn't -- DEA 2.2 does not tell a registrant or either the man --23 or a registrant involved in distribution 24 activities what's a suspicious order, besides 2.5 the -- the definition in 1301.74(b).

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Page 120 It's up to the -- the distributor or 1 the manufacturer, distributor to make a 3 decision what information they will use to determine a suspicious orders. 4 5 BY MR. O'CONNOR: So DEA never issued any guidance to 6 0. 7 manufacturers informing them that charge-backs were to play a role in suspicious order 8 9 monitoring, correct? MS. SINGER: Objection. Asked and 10 11 answered. 12 BY MR. O'CONNOR: 13 Ο. I would just like a yes-or-no 14 answer. 15 MS. SINGER: Objection. 16 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 17 The witness can answer the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Besides the 19 regulations and the C.F.R. -- in the CSA, no, I 20 don't know if they ever issued a regulation --21 any kind of document regarding chargebacks. 2.2 BY MR. O'CONNOR: 2.3 Okay. When you were head of the Ο. 2.4 Office of Diversion Control, there was a unit 2.5 within that office that analyzed ARCOS data,

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

Page 121 1 correct? Α. Yes. 3 Did that group use ARCOS data to 0. look for leads for investigations? 4 5 Α. They can, yes. Did they, in fact, do that? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. And would you agree that analyzing 9 the ARCOS data was helpful to the agency in 10 generating leads for investigations? 11 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vaque. 12 And vague as to time. 13 THE WITNESS: The analysis of ARCOS 14 information can help, yes, leads for 15 investigations. 16 BY MR. O'CONNOR: 17 Did the DEA use ARCOS for Q. 18 investigative leads in a timely manner in your 19 view? 20 MS. SINGER: Objection. Vague. 21 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. 2.2 And objection. Scope. Regarding opinions. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't understand 24 what you mean by "in a timely manner." 2.5 ARCOS is generally three to six

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376